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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This traffic study has been prepared for a new retail development at 580 Lake Road in Andover, CT. The study area is along a rural stretch of US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) that consists of industrial and commercial land uses. The Site will consist of an approximate 10,700 square foot retail development. Access to the Site will be via two driveways, full access via Lake Road and full access via US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway).

This study investigated the potential traffic impacts of the proposed development during the weekday morning, weekday evening, and Saturday mid-day traffic periods. To assess existing traffic conditions in the vicinity of the Site, peak hour manual turning movement traffic volumes, vehicle classification and pedestrian counts were recorded at key intersections within the study area.

Accounting for the $20 \%$ pass-by trips allowed by CTDOT, it is projected that the proposed development will generate approximately 25 net new trips in the AM peak hour ( 14 enter, 11 exit), 56 net new trips in the PM peak hour ( 29 enter, 27 exit), and 84 net new trips (44 enter, 40 exit) in the Saturday mid-day peak hour.

A detailed traffic analysis was conducted at key intersections and roadways in the general vicinity of the Site in accordance with methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, published by the Transportation Research Board. After analyses of the Existing, No Build and Build Scenarios of the weekday AM peak hour, weekday PM peak hour and Saturday mid-day peak hour, it is projected that this development will have negligible impacts on the surrounding roadway network.

The following is a summary of the results/recommendations for this Site:
$>$ Install 12" white Stop Bar and "Stop" Sign (R1-1) at the Site driveways egress as shown on Site Plan.
> Clearing of vegetation to increase sightlines on Lake Road.

## I. INTRODUCTION

This traffic study has been prepared for a new retail development at 580 Lake Road in Andover, CT. The focus of this study was to evaluate the traffic flows and operating conditions on the roadways and intersections projected to be used by motorists traveling to and from the proposed development and to quantify the potential traffic impacts on these roadways and intersections. The study area is along a rural stretch of US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) that consists of industrial and commercial land uses. See Figure 1 for a location map.

The Site will consist of a 10,700 square foot retail building and associated parking. Access to the Site will be via two driveways: full access via Lake Road driveway and US Route 6 driveway. The Site is located along the southerly side of US Route 6, at the corner of US Route 6 at Lake Road intersection. The Site is an empty lot next to commercial development.

The study investigated the potential traffic impacts associated with the development in the weekday morning, weekday evening and Saturday mid-day shopping peak periods. The greatest cumulative impacts of project related traffic are likely to occur during the weekday morning and evening peak hours, when traffic consists mostly of commuters, and the Saturday mid-day, which would include mostly shoppers. As such, traffic operating conditions at the study intersections were analyzed during these peak periods.


## II. EXISTING CONDITIONS

An investigation of the existing traffic conditions on the adjacent roadway network formed the basis for assessing any traffic issues associated with the proposed development. This investigation included a field reconnaissance, traffic counting, and research of pertinent planning and traffic data available with Connecticut Department of Transportation (CTDOT) and the Town of Andover.

## Access Network

The project study area consists of the following intersections:

- US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) at Lake Road (Signalized)

Major roadways in the vicinity of the project include US Route 6 and Lake Road.
US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) within the state of Connecticut runs for approximately 120 miles from the New York state line near Danbury to the Rhode Island state line in Killingly. In the study area, US Route 6 is an east-west oriented principal arterial other, with one travel lane in each direction and approximately 12' wide with delineated shoulders within the study limits. US Route 6 has a posted speed limit of 50 mph and has roadway illumination. There are little to no pedestrian accommodations along US Route 6 on either side of the roadway. Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT), as provided by CTDOT, northwest of Route 87 at US Route 6 intersection, with AADT being 10,600 vehicles per day (vpd), counted in 2020.

Lake Road is a two-lane local roadway, approximately 1.6 miles in length, running in the north/south direction. This road has a posted speed limit of 25 mph . There is no delineation between the two directions of travel, there is sporadic roadway illumination, and no pedestrian accommodations along the road.

## Intersection Characteristics

Several key intersections were reviewed in this study to determine if they would be impacted by the expected Site traffic volumes. They are as follows:

US Route 1 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) at Lake Road -This is a signalized, 3-phased intersection. The US Route 6 eastbound approach has two lanes, one through lane and an exclusive right turn lane entering the intersection. The US Route 6 westbound approach has an exclusive left turn lane and through lane entering the intersection. Lake Road northbound has a shared right/left turn lane entering the intersection. This signal is not part of a coordinated system.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Weekday morning peak period, weekday afternoon peak period and Saturday midday peak period traffic volumes were counted at the above intersections on March 3 rd, 2022 for the morning and afternoon peak periods and March 5, 2022 for the Saturday mid-day peak period. The collected counts were then compared to data available from CTDOT. After comparison, the volumes were reviewed and approved by CTDOT Bureau of Policy and Planning. The current peak hour traffic volumes for the intersections are illustrated in Figure 2.


## LEGEND

| 〇 | SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | WEEKDAY AM: XXX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | WEEKDAY PM: (XXX) |  |
| STOP-CONTROLLED APPROACH | SATURDAY MID-DAY: [XXX] |  |

## Public Transit

Within the study area, there are no bus services. The closest bus service includes the Express Route 918, with a bus stop at Andover Park-and-Ride Lot and Willimantic Road. Other bus services in neighboring towns are Bus Route 83 and Bus Route 88.

## Crash Data Analysis

As part of the existing conditions analysis, crash data for the most recent three-year period from January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2021, was obtained from the Connecticut Crash Data Repository.

Nine crashes in the study area were reviewed; the most common crashes were the front to rear at sixty-seven percent (67\%) and angle crashes at thirty-three percent (33\%). The majority of crashes resulted in "No Apparent Injury" at seventy eight percent (78\%) and two crashes as "Suspected Minor Injury." There were no fatalities in the corridor for the three-year period. Below Table 1 summarizes the crash data.

Table 1 - Crash Data Summary

|  | Segment 1: US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) from Mobil Gas Station to Lake Road | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) @ Lake Road | Segment 2: US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) from Lake Road to Hop River State Park Trail | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| Crash Type |  |  |  |  |
| Angle | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Front to Front |  |  |  | 0 |
| Front to Rear | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Not Applicable | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Other |  |  |  | 0 |
| Rear to Rear |  |  |  | 0 |
| Rear to Side |  |  |  | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Opposite Direction |  |  |  | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Same Direction |  |  |  | 0 |
| Unknown |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| Severity |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Injury (K) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Suspected Serious Injury (A) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Suspected Minor Injury (B) | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Possible Injury (C) |  |  |  | 0 |
| No Apparent Injury (O) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| Unknown |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 |

Note: Data collected from the Connecticut Crash Data Repository

## III. PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

In order to evaluate traffic conditions when the proposed development is completed in 2023, future traffic volumes were forecast under the 2023 No Build Conditions (without the proposed retail development) and under 2023 Build Conditions (with the proposed retail development). The projected traffic volumes on the roadway network under 2023 No Build conditions were assumed to include all existing traffic and new traffic resulting from background sources of traffic growth, independent of the proposed development. The project traffic volumes on the roadway network under 2023 Build conditions were assumed to include the anticipated project Site generated traffic volumes in addition to the assumed background traffic growth.

## No Build Traffic Volumes

A $1 \%$ annual growth rate was applied to the existing traffic volumes to develop the 2023 No Build traffic volumes. In addition to applying a growth rate, any approved or pending developments in the area that may add substantial traffic volume to the study intersections were considered. In discussions with CTDOT and the Town of Andover there were no additional developments in the vicinity of the project. Figure $\mathbf{3}$ graphically illustrates the No Build Traffic Volumes.
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## Trip Generation and Pass-By Trips

The anticipated traffic volumes generated by the proposed development were projected based upon guidelines set forth by CTDOT and data provided by the ITE Trip Generation Manual $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. This widely used reference manual provided trip generation rates for various land uses based on traffic count data collected at similar sites. The following table shows projected trip generation for a variety store (Land Use Code 814). Saturday mid-day peak hour data for this Land Use Code 814 is not available in the ITE Trip Generation Manual $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition and is conservatively estimated to be 1.5 times the PM peak hour. A portion of trips generated are classified as "passby" traffic. Pass-by traffic consists of vehicles already on the roadway that are attracted to the Site when passing through the area. The primary destination of this traffic is elsewhere, and the primary trip will be resumed following a stop at the proposed development. While empirical studies of similar sized retail buildings by the ITE Trip Generation Manual $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition use a pass-by component of $30 \%$, this study referred to the CTDOT guidelines which allows $20 \%$ pass-by component.

Table 2 illustrates the trip generation for the proposed development scenarios. Accounting for the $20 \%$ pass-by trips allowed by CTDOT, it is projected that the proposed development will generate approximately 25 net new trips in the AM peak hour ( 14 enter, 11 exit), 56 net new trips in the PM peak hour ( 29 enter, 27 exit), and 84 net new trips (44 enter, 40 exit) in the Saturday mid-day peak hour.

Table 2 - Peak Hour Trip Generation

| Proposed Retail |  | Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE Land Use Code | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Mid-Day Peak Hour ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |
| 814 - Variety Store | 10.7 | 33 | 18 | 15 | 72 | 37 | 35 | 108 | 56 | 52 |
| Less Pass-By (20\%) ${ }^{1}$ |  | -8 | -4 | -4 | -16 | -8 | -8 | -24 | -12 | -12 |
| Net New Trips |  | 25 | 14 | 11 | 56 | 29 | 27 | 84 | 44 | 40 |

Ref: Trip Generation, 11 th Edition
${ }^{1}$ CTDOT Allowance for Pass-By Used 20\%;
${ }^{2}$ Estimated as 1.5 times the PM Peak Hour

## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of traffic is typically a function of population densities, competing opportunities, existing travel patterns adjacent to the Site, and the efficiency and limitations of the existing roadway system. The distribution of the anticipated traffic volumes was based on arrival/departure patterns shown in Figure 4.


## LEGEND

| SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | INCOMING TRAFFIC: XX\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | OUTGOING TRAFFIC: (XX\%) |
| STOP-CONTROLLED APPROACH |  |


| ARCHITECTURE | TRIP DISTRIBUTION | Project No. <br> Date |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| ENGINEERING |  |  |
| ENVIRONMENTAL | MARCH 2022 |  |
| LAND SURVEYING | PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |  |

## Assigned Site Generated Traffic Volumes

The generated trips are multiplied by the corresponding proportions to ascertain the Site generated traffic volumes. Figure 5 shows the Site generated peak hour traffic assigned to the nearby roadway network.


## LEGEND
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VOLUME BALANCING MAY SLIGHTLY DIFFER AS A RESULT OF ROUNDING.

## Pass-By Traffic Volume

The pass-by volumes consisting of vehicles already on the roadway that are attacted to the Site when passing through the area are illustrated in Figure 6.


## LEGEND
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| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Build Traffic Volumes

The assigned Site generated traffic volumes were superimposed onto the 2023 No Build Traffic volumes to establish the future 2023 Build Traffic volumes, as illustrated in Figure 7.


## LEGEND

| SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | WEEKDAY AM: XXX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION | WEEKDAY PM: (XXX) |
| STOP-CONTROLLED APPROACH | SATURDAY MID-DAY: [XXX] |

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 580 LAKE ROAD, ANDOVER, CT

## IV. ROADWAY ADEQUACY

The intersection capacity analyses were prepared using the methodology described in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), published by the Transportation Research Board (TRB) for the existing, no build, build, and build improve traffic volume scenarios to simulate the traffic impact of a proposed retail development on the adjacent roadway network. As documented in the HCM, intersection performance is influenced by several factors, including traffic demand; lane configurations; lane widths; turning restrictions; roadway grades; and signal phasing. The existing physical roadway characteristics and signal phasing and timing settings were determined by observing conditions in the field and reviewing the current traffic control signal plans provided by CTDOT.

Synchro™ software (Version 11) was used to model the study intersections based on the parameters mentioned above. The Synchro software is widely utilized by the traffic engineering industry and is consistent with the procedures in the HCM.

## Signalized Intersections

Signalized intersections are analyzed in terms of vehicle capacity and motorist delay. Capacity is the maximum rate of vehicle flow through an intersection given typical operating conditions. The number of vehicles traveling through an intersection is divided by the capacity of the intersection to determine an overall volume to capacity ratio (v/c). A v/c value under 1.00 indicates that the number of vehicles traveling through an intersection is less than capacity.

As stated in the HCM, level of service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of control delay. Control delay measures the increase in delay a motorist experiences while encountering a traffic control signal. These factors include initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. This delay is measured per vehicle for a 15 -minute analysis period and is associated with the levels of service, which are summarized in Table 3 below:

Table 3 - Signalized Intersection - Level of Service

| Level of Servicel | $\frac{\text { Average Control Delay }}{\text { (seconds per vehicle) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

If volume-to-capacity ratio is over 1.0 for a lane group, LOS F. Intersection and approach-based LOS is based solely on control delay.

Level of Service A represents the optimum level where most motorists arrive at the subject intersection during the green phase and thus experience virtually no delay. Conversely, Level of Service F indicates that motorists are delayed over 80 seconds while traveling through the intersection and can often imply a complete breakdown of that location. Level of Service $D$ is generally considered the limit of acceptable motorist delay.

## Unsignalized Intersections

Unsignalized intersections are generally evaluated in terms of average side street delay, as well as the capacity of the roadway approach. This analysis is based on the random arrival of vehicles and the associated gaps generated by this random arrival within the traffic stream. There is no overall level of service for unsignalized intersections. The relationship between levels of service and average side street delay are summarized in

Table 4 below:
Table 4 - Unsignalized Intersection - Level of Service

| Level of Service ${ }^{1}$ | $\frac{\text { Average Control Delay }}{\text { (seconds per vehicle) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

IIf volume-to-capacity ratio is over 1.0 for a lane group, LOS F. Intersection and approach-based LOS is based solely on control delay.

It should be noted that unsignalized levels of service do not correspond to those for signalized intersections, nor do they constitute warrants for the installation of traffic control signals. It is also recognized that the methodology is overly conservative and that computations can indicate operations at poor levels of service (E or F) with even very low side street volumes, although they often function without serious problems in the real world.

## Capacity Analyses Results

Table 5 shows the levels of service (LOS) and other operational characteristics at the subject intersections. The detailed analysis information is included in the Appendix.

## Table 5 - Peak Hour Levels of Service



Overall Intersection - $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{XX} . \mathrm{X}$ - Level of Service/Intersection Signal Delay in sec
Approaches - X/X.XX/XXX - Level of Service/Volume to Capacity Ratio/95\% Queve Length in ft
Approaches - X/X.XX/XXX
1-Signalized Intersection
${ }^{1}$ - Signalized Intersection
${ }^{3}$ - Approach revised to leff turn lane and through lane for Build Improv scenario

As illustrated in Table 5, weekday AM peak hour, weekday PM peak hour and Saturday Midday peak hour Existing and No Build Scenario traffic operations were analyzed as the base conditions for comparison with the Build Scenarios.

During the AM Peak hour, traffic operations for the overall intersection LOS and individual movements are projected to be negligibly impacted by the proposed development. The signalized intersection remains at the LOS of " $A$ " and so does the overall delay of 7.5 seconds.

During the PM Peak hour, traffic operations for the overall intersection LOS and individual movements are projected to be negligibly impacted by the proposed development. The signalized intersection remains at the LOS of "A" with a net increase of one second of delay. The queve length increases by ten feet between No Build and Build scenarios, which is less than a car length.

Last, during the Saturday Mid-Day Peak hour, traffic operations for the overall intersection LOS and individual movements are projected to have negligible impacts from the proposed development. The signalized intersection remains at the LOS of "A" and so does the overall delay of 6.7 seconds.

## V. INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

## Sight Distances

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) publication, A Policy on Geometric Design, 2018 Edition, defines minimum sight distances at intersections based on the eighty-fifth percentile speed and roadway geometry attributes. The CTDOT follows these methods for unsignalized and signalized intersections in the CTDOT Highway Design Manual.

Two distances to consider are the stopping sight distance (SSD) for vehicles traveling along the main road and intersection sight distance (ISD) from the proposed driveways, shown in Table 6.

## Table 6 - Sight Lines Project Access Points

| Intersection | Direction | Posted Speed Limit (mph) | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed (mph) | SSD <br> Required <br> (ft) | ISD Required <br> (ft) | Estimated Distance <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Drive \#1 at US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) | Eastbound | 50 | 55* | 495 | 610 | 1,000+ |
|  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | 1,000+ |
| Site Drive \#2 at Lake Road | Northbound | 25 | 30* | 200 | 335 | 220 |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | 140 |

*-assumed

As shown in Table 6 the sight distance looks in both directions for both driveways. Along US Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) from Site Driveway \# 1 the SSD and ISD requirements are met for the prevailing speeds. Clearing of vegetation / overgrown shrubs from surrounding Route 6 (Johnathan Trumbull Highway) roadway is recommended where needed.

The sightlines from Site Driveway \#2 at Lake Road are limited by the vegetation at the neighboring property ( 580 Lake Road) and proximity to the signalized intersection. Looking towards the signalized intersection, the sightline is over 200 ft thus meeting the SSD limits; in the southbound direction, the sightline for SSD and ISD is not met. Minimum clearing of vegetation / overgrown shrubs is recommended to improve sightlines. Overall, vehicles are expected to be able to exit the project Site Driveways safely.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This traffic study has been prepared for a new retail development at 580 Lake Road in Andover, CT. The focus of this study was to evaluate the traffic flows and operating conditions on the roadways and intersections projected to be used by motorists traveling to and from the proposed development and to quantify the potential traffic impacts on these roadways and intersections. After analyses of the Existing, No Build and Build Scenarios of the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours, it is projected that the proposed development will have negligible impacts on the surrounding roadway network. All intersections during the three study peak periods are projected to perform adequately and have negligible impacts from the proposed development along the US Route 6 corridor.

The following is a summary of the results/recommendations for this Site:
$>$ Install 12" white Stop Bar and "Stop" Sign (R1-1) at the Site driveways egress as shown on Site Plan.
> Clearing of vegetation to increase sightlines on Lake Road.

## APPENDIX
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## Traffic Counts
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Client:
Project \#:
BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week: Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE 876_001_BL

$$
\text { Location } 1
$$

Andover, CT

$$
\text { Route } 6 \text { (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy) }
$$

Lake Road

3/3/2022
Thursday
Clouds \& Sun, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

## uli New England COUNTS

PO Box 1723
Framingham, MA 01701


| Lake Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 159 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 143 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 151 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 171 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 140 | 0 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM PEAK HOUR } \\ 7: 15 \mathrm{AM} \end{gathered}$ | Lake Road <br> Northbound <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 32 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 530 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 701 | 0 |
| $H V \%$ | 0.85 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% |
| PM PEAK HOUR <br> 4:00 PM <br> to <br> 5:00 PM <br> PH | Lake RoadNorthbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 772 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 613 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.57 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.91 |  |  |  |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% |

Client:
Project \#: BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE 876_001_BL
Location 1
Andover, CT
Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy)
Lake Road
3/3/2022
Thursday
Clouds \& Sun, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

## un New England COUNTS <br> PO Box 1723

Framingham, MA 01701


Lake Road
Northbound

US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound

US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound Westbound

| Northbound Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM PEAK HOUR } \\ 7: 30 \mathrm{AM} \end{gathered}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 4:00 PM } \end{gathered}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.25 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  |

Client:
Project \#:
BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE
876_001_BL
Location 1
Andover, CT
Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy)
Lake Road
3/3/2022
Thursday
Clouds \& Sun, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

# uni New England COUNTS 

PO Box 1723
Framingham, MA 01701

## PEDESTRIANS \& BICYCLES

US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway)
Lake Road

| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Th |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $7: 15$ AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $7: 30$ AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $7: 45$ AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Lake Road

| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Rig |


| Northbound Southbound Eastbound Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 7:15 AM } \\ \text { to } \end{array}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 4:00 PM } \\ \text { to } \end{array}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]Client:
Project \#: BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE
876_001_BL
Location 1
Andover, CT
Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy)
Lake Road
3/5/2022
Saturday
Clouds \& Sun, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

## 山lu New England COUNTS

| Start Time | PASSENGER CARS \& HEAVY VEHICLES COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 140 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 155 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 144 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 156 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 150 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 156 | 0 |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MID PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 12:00 PM } \end{gathered}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 594 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.70 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| HV \% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |

Client:
Project \#: BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE
876_001_BL
Location 1
Andover, CT
Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy)
Lake Road
3/5/2022 Saturday
Clouds \& Sun, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

## ule New England COUNTS

PO Box 1723
Framingham, MA 01701

|  | HEAVY VEHICLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { MID PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 11:00 AM } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 12:00 PM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.75 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.46 |  |  |  | 0.70 |  |  |  |

Client:
Project \#: BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Pat Padlo, P.E., PTOE
876_001_BL
Location 1
Andover, CT
Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Hwy)
Lake Road
3/5/2022
Saturday
Clouds \& Sun, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

## 顸 New England COUNTS



| Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MID PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 12:00 PM } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 1:00 PM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Eastbound |  |  |  | US Route 6 (Jonathan Trumbull Highway) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Peak hour summaries here correspond to peak hours identified for passenger car and heavy vehicles combined.

## CAPACITY ANALYSES

Architecture
Engineering
Environmental
Land Surveying

## EXISTING



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 42.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





[^1]

|  | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | n | k | \% | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 795 | 0 | 0 | 630 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 795 | 0 | 0 | 630 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1827 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1863 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1827 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1863 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1507 |  |  | 279 | 137 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 20.6 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) 811 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 811 | 0 | 0 | 643 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 45.2\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





[^2]|  | + | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | ra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |  |
| Total Delay | 10.2 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 23.7 |  |  |
| LOS | B | A | A | A | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 10.1 |  |  | 1.5 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | C |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 329 | 7 | 4 | 103 | 27 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  |  | 1611 | 119 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1643 | 1399 | 538 | 1752 | 645 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 82.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 47.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: |  |  |  |  | sectio | OS: A |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uti | 53.9\% |  |  |  | Level | Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 103: Lake Rd \& US Rt 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{x}_{01}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 10 s 51.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3s |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | - | Fr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 465 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 465 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 495 | 0 | 0 | 649 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 495 | 0 | 1144 | 495 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 495 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 649 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.236 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1058 | - | 221 | 575 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 613 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 520 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1058 | - | 221 | 575 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 221 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 613 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 520 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  | NW |  | NE |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NELn1 | 1 NWL | NWT | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1058 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | 0 | - | - | - |


|  | - | $\cdots$ | 4 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | SEL | SER | SWR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * | * |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.865 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1703 | 1863 | 0 | 1550 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1703 | 1863 | 0 | 1550 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 266 | 219 |  | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 33 | 0 | 0 | 38 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 33 | 0 | 0 | 38 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Stop |  | Free |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 6.7\% |  |  |  |  | CU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |



[^3]|  | + | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | ra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |  |
| Total Delay | 9.1 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 16.4 |  |  |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 8.9 |  |  | 2.5 | 16.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | B |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 160 | 8 | 4 | 101 | 26 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  |  | 1611 | 119 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1726 | 1468 | 769 | 1823 | 700 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.35 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 82.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 41.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: |  |  |  |  | sectio | OS: A |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uti | 42.4\% |  |  |  | Level | Servic |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 103: Lake Rd \& US Rt 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{k}_{01}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 10 s 51.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3s |

## NO BUILD

Architecture Engineering Environmental


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




|  | + | 2 | m | k | $\dagger$ | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 540 | 20 | 10 | 720 | 35 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 540 | 20 | 10 | 720 | 35 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.969 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1673 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.361 |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1827 | 1553 | 660 | 1827 | 1673 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 22 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 279 |  |  | 1691 | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 3.8 |  |  | 23.1 | 5.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 587 | 22 | 11 | 774 | 41 | 12 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 587 | 22 | 11 | 774 | 53 | 0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 26.4 | 26.4 | 7.0 |  | 9.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 51.4 | 51.4 | 10.0 |  | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 62.2\% | 62.2\% | 12.1\% |  | 25.8\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 | 6.0 |  | 17.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.0 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | Min | None |  | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 18.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 28.1 | 28.1 | 36.6 | 43.2 | 6.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.12 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.50 | 0.24 |  |
| Control Delay | 11.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 24.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

[^4]


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | - | ri |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 810 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 810 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 827 | 0 | 0 | 653 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 827 | 0 | 1480 | 827 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 827 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 653 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.236 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 795 | - | 138 | 371 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 430 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 518 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 795 | - | 138 | 371 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 138 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 430 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 518 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  | NW |  | NE |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NELn1 | NWL | NWT | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | 795 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | 0 | - | - | - |



|  | + | $\lambda$ | $m$ | * | $\cdots$ | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 790 | 20 | 15 | 625 | 15 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 790 | 20 | 15 | 625 | 15 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.968 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1671 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.236 |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1827 | 1553 | 431 | 1827 | 1671 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 17 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 279 |  |  | 1691 | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 3.8 |  |  | 23.1 | 5.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 806 | 20 | 16 | 687 | 16 | 5 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 806 | 20 | 16 | 687 | 21 | 0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 26.4 | 26.4 | 7.0 |  | 9.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 51.4 | 51.4 | 10.0 |  | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 62.2\% | 62.2\% | 12.1\% |  | 25.8\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 | 6.0 |  | 17.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.0 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | Min | None |  | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 18.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 30.4 | 30.4 | 38.3 | 46.3 | 5.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 0.12 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay | 10.4 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 24.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |


|  | - | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | Ta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |  |
| Total Delay | 10.4 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 24.1 |  |  |
| LOS | B | A | A | A | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 10.2 |  |  | 1.5 | 24.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | C |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 340 | 7 | 4 | 106 | 27 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  |  | 1611 | 119 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1631 | 1388 | 525 | 1752 | 635 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 82.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 48.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: |  |  |  |  | sectio | OS: A |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uti | 54.7\% |  |  |  | Level | Servic |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 103: Lake Rd \& US Rt 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{k}_{\square 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% 04 |
| 10 s  51.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3 s |



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 36.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




|  | - | ) | $m$ | k | \% | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F' | \% | 4 | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 455 | 20 | 15 | 605 | 15 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 455 | 20 | 15 | 605 | 15 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.932 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1630 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.455 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1827 | 1553 | 831 | 1827 | 1630 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 21 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 25 |  |
| Link Distance ( f ) | 279 |  |  | 1691 | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 3.8 |  |  | 23.1 | 5.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 484 | 21 | 16 | 644 | 16 | 16 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 484 | 21 | 16 | 644 | 32 | 0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 26.4 | 26.4 | 7.0 |  | 9.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 51.4 | 51.4 | 10.0 |  | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 62.2\% | 62.2\% | 12.1\% |  | 25.8\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 | 6.0 |  | 17.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.0 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | Min | None |  | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 18.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.3 | 23.3 | 30.9 | 38.0 | 5.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.89 | 0.13 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.39 | 0.14 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.1 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 16.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |



## BUILD

Architecture
Engineering Environmental
Land Surveying

|  | + | $j$ | m |  | $\cdots$ | P4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 561 | 10 | 3 | 756 | 9 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 561 | 10 | 3 | 756 | 9 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.998 |  |  |  | 0.969 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1823 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1738 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1823 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1738 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1507 |  |  | 279 | 137 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 20.6 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 610 | 11 | 3 | 822 | 10 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 621 | 0 | 0 | 825 | 13 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.2\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | $\rangle$ | - | $\cdots$ | 4 |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL2 | EBL | SEL | SER | SWR | SWR2 |
| Lane Configurations |  | * | * |  | E |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 30 | 8 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 30 | 8 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.985 |  | 0.865 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1703 | 1756 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1703 | 1756 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 266 | 219 |  | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 49 | 8 | 1 | 33 | 9 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 50 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Stop |  | Free |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 13.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


[^5]|  | + | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | Pa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |  |
| Total Delay | 11.1 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 23.1 |  |  |
| LOS | B | A | A | A | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 10.8 |  |  | 3.9 | 23.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | C |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 121 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 12 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 223 | 8 | 5 | 167 | 48 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  |  | 1611 | 119 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1554 | 1324 | 617 | 1708 | 615 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.10 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 82.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay |  |  |  |  | sectio | OS: A |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uti | 49.1\% |  |  |  | Level | Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 103: Lake Rd \& US Rt 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{k}_{01}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 10 s 51.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3s |


|  | - | $\lambda$ | m | k | $y$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | 4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 812 | 20 | 6 | 642 | 20 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 812 | 20 | 6 | 642 | 20 | 6 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.997 |  |  |  | 0.967 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1821 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1735 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1821 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1735 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1507 |  |  | 279 | 137 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 20.6 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 829 | 20 | 6 | 655 | 22 | 7 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 849 | 0 | 0 | 661 | 29 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.9\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | -1 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 812 | 20 | 6 | 642 | 20 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 812 | 20 | 6 | 642 | 20 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 829 | 20 | 6 | 655 | 22 | 7 |



|  | \% | - | $\cdots$ | 4 |  | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL2 | EBL | SEL | SER | SWR | SWR2 |
| Lane Configurations |  | \# | * |  | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 20 | 16 | 2 | 35 | 16 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 20 | 16 | 2 | 35 | 16 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.986 |  | 0.865 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1703 | 1758 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1703 | 1758 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 266 | 219 |  | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 41 | 19 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 24 | 19 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Stop |  | Free |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 13.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


[^6]

|  | + | 2 | n | k | $\cdots$ | Ta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 478 | 31 | 9 | 623 | 29 | 9 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 478 | 31 | 9 | 623 | 29 | 9 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.992 |  |  |  | 0.968 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.999 | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1812 | 0 | 0 | 1825 | 1736 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.999 | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1812 | 0 | 0 | 1825 | 1736 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 50 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1507 |  |  | 279 | 137 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 20.6 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 509 | 33 | 10 | 663 | 32 | 10 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 542 | 0 | 0 | 673 | 42 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.0\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 478 | 31 | 9 | 623 | 29 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 478 | 31 | 9 | 623 | 29 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 509 | 33 | 10 | 663 | 32 | 10 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 1209 | 526 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 526 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 683 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.236 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1017 | - | 202 | 552 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 593 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 502 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1017 | - | 199 | 552 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 199 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 593 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 494 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  | NW |  | NE |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.1 |  | 23.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NELn1 | NWL | NWT | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 235 | 1017 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.176 | 0.009 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 23.6 | 8.6 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.6 | 0 | - | - | - |


|  | \% | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 4 | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL2 | EBL | SEL | SER | SWR | SWR2 |
| Lane Configurations |  | \% | * |  | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 30 | 23 | 3 | 35 | 25 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 30 | 23 | 3 | 35 | 25 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.986 |  | 0.865 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1703 | 1758 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 | 0.957 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1703 | 1758 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 266 | 219 |  | 199 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 33 | 25 | 3 | 38 | 27 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 36 | 28 | 0 | 65 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Stop |  | Free |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 13.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


[^7]|  |  | + | $\pm$ | m |  | $\dagger$ | ra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |  |
| Total Delay |  | 10.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 15.0 |  |  |
| LOS |  | B | A | A | A | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.2 |  |  | 3.4 | 15.0 |  |  |
| Approach |  | B |  |  | A | B |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 91 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 5 |  |  |
| Queue Le | 95th (ft) | 172 | 9 | 8 | 117 | 35 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 199 |  |  | 1611 | 119 |  |  |
| Turn Bay | th (ft) |  | 150 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1690 | 1438 | 710 | 1788 | 660 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.09 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Typ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 82.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | nnal Delay |  |  |  |  | sectio | OS: A |  |
| Intersection | pacity Util | 43.4\% |  |  |  | Level | Servic |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 103: Lake Rd \& US Rt 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $z_{\varnothing 1}$ | $x_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% 04 |
| 10 s | 51.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3 s |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NOTE: Peak hour summaries here correspond to peak hours identified for passenger cars and heavy vehicles combined.

[^1]:    G:IJOBS21116|2101726|TRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/17/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^2]:    G:IJOBS21116|2101726|TRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/17/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^3]:    G:IJOBS21116|2101726|TRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/17/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^4]:    G:\JOBS21\16|2101726ITRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/17/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^5]:    G:IJOBS21\16\2101726ITRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/18/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^6]:    G:IJOBS21116|2101726ITRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/18/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

[^7]:    G:\JOBS21\16|2101726ITRAFISYNCHROIT-2101726-Synchro_All_Scenarios.syn
    03/18/2022
    BL Companies
    Page 4

